DAVID A R WILLIAMS QC Barrister-at-Law & Arbitrator

Bankside Chambers, Singapore

Bankside Chambers

Level 22. Lumley Centre 88 Shortland Street Auckland 1010 New Zealand

Tel: +64 9 367 6896

Fax: +64 9 367 6895

Room 02-08. Second Floor 32 Maxwell Road

Singapore 069115

Maxwell Chambers

Essex Court Chambers 24 Lincoln's Inn Fields London WC2A 3EG England

Tel: +65 6222 9279 Fax: +65 6339 3931 Tel: +44 20 7813 8000 Fax: +44 20 7813 8080

Email: david.williams@ darwilliams.co.nz

Scope of Practice - International Commercial Arbitration - International Law

David A R Williams QC is a graduate of the University of Auckland (LLB, 1965) and of Harvard University (LLM, 1966) and a member of the New Zealand, Australian and English Bars. From 1992 to 1994, he was a judge of the High Court of New Zealand. He was a Visiting Fellow at the University of Virginia, US in 1979 and at Cambridge University in 2002. Mr Williams is a Fellow of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators and the former president of the Arbitrators' and Mediators' Institute of New Zealand. He is a member of numerous international arbitration panels. Previously, he was a member of the board of the American Arbitration Association, the ICC International Court of Arbitration and the London Court of International Arbitration, and he currently serves as a member of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration (ICCA). In 2010 he was appointed an honorary professor at the University of Auckland Law School where he teaches international arbitration. Together with Amokura Kawharu, Mr Williams is co-author of Williams and Kawharu on Arbitration (LexisNexis, 2011), New Zealand's first comprehensive treatise on domestic and international arbitration and has contributed chapters to other works, including: Muchlinski, Ortino and Schreuer (eds) The Oxford Handbook of International Investment Law (OUP, 2008); Brown and Miles (eds) Evolution in Investment Treaty Law and Arbitration (Cambridge UP, 2011); Pryles and Moser (eds) The Asian Leading Arbitrators' Guide to International Arbitration (JurisNet, 2007); and, Rowley (ed.) Arbitration World (The European Lawyer, 2006 and 2010). He is the joint arbitration editor of the New Zealand Law Review.

Mr Williams has developed a global international arbitration practice through which he has been involved. either as counsel or arbitrator, in over 130 international arbitrations. He has extensive experience as an international arbitrator in ad hoc, ICC, LCIA, AAA, and SIAC arbitrations in disputes involving oil and gas concessions, commodities pricing, joint ventures, licensing disputes, reinsurance, political risk insurance, power and infrastructure projects, construction, commercial contracts, and pharmaceutical industry disputes. Mr Williams has also conducted numerous investment treaty arbitrations under both the ICSID Arbitration Rules and the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules. In addition, Mr Williams has sat on many sports arbitration panels under the auspices of the CAS. He serves part-time as the President of the Court of Appeal of the Cook Islands.

Academic Qualifications

LLB. University of Auckland Law School, 1965 LLM, Harvard Law School, 1966 Visiting Scholar University of Virginia Law School, USA, 1978 Visiting Fellow Cambridge University, UK, 2002 Honorary Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Auckland

Professional Qualifications

Admitted as a barrister to:

- New Zealand Bar (1965) (New Zealand Law Society)
- English Bar (Lincoln's Inn) (2003) (Legal Services Board)*
- Australian Bar (1986) (Australian Bar Association)*
- N.S.W. (1987) (New South Wales Bar Association)*
- Victoria (1987) (The Victorian Bar Inc)*
- A.C.T. (1986) (Australian Capital Territory Bar Association)*
- Cook Islands Bar (1998) (Cook Islands Law Society)*

^{*} Mr Williams is not the holder of a current practising certificate in these jurisdictions since he does not practise as a barrister in such jurisdictions.

Indemnity Insurance

Mr Williams has professional indemnity insurance in New Zealand, provided by AON New Zealand.

Experience at the Bar

Litigation partner, Russell McVeagh McKenzie Bartleet & Co, Auckland 1969 - 1984 Barrister at Law 1985-1991, 1995 - Queen's Counsel, 1987 -

Judicial Experience

Justice of the High Court of New Zealand, 1991 - 1994

Part-time appointments

Justice of the High Court of the Cook Islands 2000-2005
Chief Justice of the Cook Islands 2005 – 2010
Justice of the Court of Appeal of the Cook Islands 2010 – 2014
President of the Court of Appeal of the Cook Islands 2014 –
Justice of the Court of the Dubai International Financial Centre 2007 – 2013.

Current Memberships

- Arbitrators and Mediators Institute of New Zealand (Past President/Fellow)
- British Institute of International and Comparative Law (Member of the Investment Treaty Forum)
- Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (Fellow and Chartered Arbitrator)
- Court of Arbitration for Sport (Panel of Arbitrators)
- International Council on Commercial Arbitration (ICCA) (Council Member)
- International Bar Association (Former Chair of the Sub-Committee on Investment Treaty Arbitration)
- International Law Association (Member of Committee on International Commercial Arbitration and International Law of Foreign Investment)
- International arbitration panels (Member of many international arbitration panels including ICSID (nominee of NZ Government))
- London Court of International Arbitration
- New Zealand Bar Association
- Swiss Arbitration Association

SUMMARY OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION EXPERIENCE

(Identity of parties suppressed unless proceedings made public by the parties through Court proceedings, public statements, or otherwise)

EXPERIENCE AS COUNSEL

1. ICC CBI NZ Ltd v Badger Chiyoda

Role: Senior Counsel for Badger Chiyoda Subject: International construction contract

Applicable law: New Zealand

Site: Auckland, New Zealand

Outcome: Award 19 May 1988; unsuccessful Claimant appealed to

Court of Appeal of New Zealand; appeal dismissed December 1989: see *CBI NZ Ltd v Badger Chiyoda* [1989] 2 NZLR 669 (CA) upholding ICC Rules, Art. 24 providing that parties deemed "to have waived their right to any form of

appeal".

2. ICSID Attorney-General of New Zealand v Mobil Oil NZ Ltd

Role: Senior Counsel for Mobil Oil

Subject: International investment dispute relating to off-take

provisions in contract relating to synthetic fuel plant

Applicable law: New Zealand

Site: Washington, D.C., USA and Auckland, New Zealand

Outcome: Written and oral proceedings (in USA and NZ) prior to issue

of Award on liability May 1989; prior attempt by Attorney-General to enjoin ICSID arbitral proceedings failed in New Zealand High Court: see *Attorney-General v Mobil Oil NZ Ltd* [1989] 2 NZLR 649; Mobil Oil succeeded in its claim that the pricing provisions of the investment contract did not violate New Zealand competition laws and that the Government abdication of contract was unlawful; damages were therefore payable to Mobil: see *Mobil Oil v New Zealand 4* ICSID

Reports 140.

3. Ad Hoc Attorney-General of the Cook Islands v Cable & Wireless Ltd

Role: Senior Counsel for Attorney-General

Subject: Compensation payable on termination of international

telecommunications services agreement

Applicable law: Cook Islands

Site: Auckland, New Zealand and Rarotonga, Cook Islands

Outcome: Court ordered Arbitration Award issued in March 1992

settling amount of compensation payable on termination.

4. Ad Hoc Marnell Corrao Associates v Sensation Yachts Inc

Role: Senior Counsel for Plaintiff boat-builder Subject: Supervacht construction contract

Applicable law: New Zealand Site: London

Outcome: Contested High Court proceedings on interim measures

reported in 2000: see *Marnell Corrao Associates v Sensation Yachts Inc* (2000) 15 PRNZ 608; preliminary hearings in Auckland, New Zealand 2001; case settled February 2002.

5. Ad Hoc Sensation Yachts Ltd v Darby Maritime Ltd & Anor

Role Senior Counsel for Plaintiff boat builder Subject Supervacht construction contract

Applicable law: England Site: London

Outcome: Successful application to High Court by Plaintiff for interim

measures: see *Sensation Yachts Ltd v Darby Maritime Ltd & Anor* (Wild J, HC, Auckland, M 1146-SW02, October 25, 2002); hearings before sole arbitrator, Mr Nicholas Fletcher, London December 2002; Award issued February 2003.

6. Ad Hoc Genesis Power Limited v Rolls Royce Engineering Plc

Tribunal Members: Sir David Tompkins (Chair), Rt. Hon J S Henry QC, H Rennie

QC

Role Senior Counsel for the Claimant Subject Power plant construction dispute

Applicable law: New Zealand

Site: Auckland, New Zealand

Outcome: Preliminary Conference and Order for Directions May 2006;

Ruling on Jurisdiction August 2006; arbitration proceedings removed by agreement into High Court of New Zealand.

7. Ad Hoc Genesis Power Limited v Rolls Royce Engineering Plc

Tribunal Members: Sir David Tompkins (Chair), Rt. Hon J S Henry QC, H Rennie

QC

Role Senior Counsel for the Claimant Subject Power plant construction dispute

Applicable law: New Zealand

Site: Auckland, New Zealand

Outcome: Preliminary Conference and Order for Directions May 2006;

Ruling on Jurisdiction August 2006; arbitration proceedings removed by agreement into High Court of New Zealand.

8. UNCITRAL Rules Philip Morris Asia Limited v The Commonwealth of Australia

Tribunal Members: Prof. Karl-Heinz Boeckstiegel (Chair), Prof. Gabrielle

Kaufmann-Kohler, Prof. Donald McRae

Role Counsel for Claimant (with Joe Smouha QC, Salim Moolan

and Simon Foote)

Subject Confidential

Applicable law: HK – Australia BIT and International Law

Site: TBC

Outcome: Preliminary procedures underway.

EXPERIENCE AS ARBITRATOR

STATE v STATE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION

1. LCIA United States v Canada

Role: Party-appointed arbitrator (appointed by USA)

Co-arbitrators: Professor Albert Jan van den Berg (party-appointed

arbitrator) (appointed by Canada), Professor Gabrielle

Kaufmann-Kohler (Chair)

Subject: Alleged breaches of the Softwood Lumber Agreement

between the Government of the United States of America

and the Government of Canada (2006)

Site: Ottawa, Canada

Outcome: Hearing held July 2009. Award issued January 2011.

2. **LCIA** United States v Canada No.2

Role: Party-appointed arbitrator (appointed by USA)

Co-arbitrators: Professor Albert Jan van den Berg (party-appointed

arbitrator) (appointed by Canada), Professor Gabrielle

Kaufmann-Kohler (Chair)

Subject: Interpretation of the award in the first arbitration

Site: Ottawa, Canada

Outcome: Award issued April 2014

INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ARBITRATION

3. **ICSID** CDC Group plc v Republic of the Seychelles

Role: Member of three-person ad hoc Committee

Other Committee members: Judge C N Brower (U.S.) (Pres.), M Hwang (Singapore)

Subject: Annulment proceeding in relation to ISCID Award; underlying

dispute involved guarantees given in relation to borrowings

to finance development of power stations

Applicable law: English

Site: Washington DC, London, Singapore

Outcome: Decision on Application for Stay of Enforcement issued July

2004; Hearing of Application for annulment January 2005;

Decision issued June 2005.

4. ICSID Siag & Vecchi v Republic of Egypt

Role: President of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Professor Francisco Orrego Vicuña (Chile), Professor

Michael Pryles (Australia)

Subject: Alleged expropriation of business
Applicable law: Italy – Egypt BIT and International Law

Site: Paris

Outcome: Award on Jurisdiction issued April 2007; Substantive hearing

March 2008; Award issued June 2009.

5. ICSID Hrvatska v Republic of Slovenia

Role: President of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Judge Charles N Brower (US), Jan Paulsson (France)

Subject: Dispute involving nuclear power plant

Applicable law: Energy Charter Treaty and International Law

Site: Paris

Outcome: Hearing on certain aspects of liability and damages May

2008; Hearing on treaty interpretation November 2008; Decision on Treaty Interpretation issued June 2009; Hearing on damages July 2009; Awaiting results of Independent

Expert Process.

6. ICSID Occidental Petroleum Inc USA v Republic of Ecuador

Role: Party appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Yves Fortier (Canada) (Pres.), Prof. Brigitte Stern (France)

Subject: Alleged expropriation in breach of BIT Applicable law: US – Ecuador BIT and International Law

Site: Washington DC/Paris

Outcome: Interim measures hearing May 2007; Award on jurisdiction

issued August 2008; Substantive hearing on liability held December 2008; Hearing on quantum November 2009; Further Hearing on Quantum June 2011; Further Hearing

held April 2012; Final Award issued October 2012.

7. UNCITRAL Rules Polish companies v Republic of Turkey

(Administered by Permanent Court of Arbitration)

Role: Chairman of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Charles Brower (US), Prof. Pierre Mayer (France)

Subject: Alleged expropriation in breach of BIT Applicable law: Poland - Turkey BIT and International Law

Site: The Hague

Outcome: Case terminated July 2009 as a result of Claimants' failure to

prosecute.

8. ICSID Fondel Metal Participations BV v Republic of Azerbaijan

Role: Chairman of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Judge Stephen M. Schwebel (US), J. William Rowley QC

(Canada)

Subject: Alleged expropriation in breach of BIT

Applicable law: Netherlands - Azerbaijan BIT and International Law

Site: The Hague

Outcome: Case settled December 2008 after completion of

interlocutory procedures.

9. **UNCITRAL Rules** Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd v The Czech Republic

Role: Chairman of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Henri Alvarez QC (Canada), Prof. Christoph Schreuer

(Austria)

Subject: Denial of justice; Alleged breaches of BIT

Applicable law: Canada - Czech Republic BIT and International Law

Site: The Hague

Outcome: Hearing held October 2009; Deliberations held March 2010;

Final Award issued December 2010.

10. UNCITRAL Rules Greek shipping company v. Government of Ukraine

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: L Yves Fortier CC QC (Canada) (Chair), Robert Dossou

(Benin)

Subject: Alleged expropriation in breach of BIT Applicable law: Greece – Ukraine BIT and International Law

Site: Paris

Outcome: Award on jurisdiction issued May 2009; Case settled.

11. UNCITRAL Rules Russian investor v. Republic of Georgia

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. Bernard Hanotiau (Belgium), Prof. William W. Park

(USA) (Chair)

Subject: Alleged expropriation in breach of Georgian Constitution and

Investment Law

Applicable law: Georgian law

Site: Paris

Outcome: Case settled.

12. ICSID Deutsche Bank v Republic of Sri Lanka

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Makhdoom Ali Khan (Pakistan), Prof. Bernard Hanotiau

(Belgium) (Pres.)

Subject: Alleged breach of BIT and oil hedging contracts
Applicable law: Germany - Pakistan BIT and International Law

Site: Singapore

Outcome: Hearing held September 2011; Final Award issued October

2012.

13. ICSID Maersk Olie, Algeriet A/S v The People's Democratic

Republic of Algeria

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Dr Kamal Hossain (Bangladesh), Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-

Kohler (Switzerland) (Pres.)

Subject: Alleged breach of BIT by introduction of windfall profits tax

Applicable law: Denmark – Algeria BIT and International Law

Site: Paris

Outcome: Hearing held November 2011; Case settled.

14. ICSID Gold Reserve Inc. v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (France), Prof. Piero Bernardini

(Italy) (Pres.)

Subject: Alleged breach of BIT and mining rights

Applicable law: Canada – Venezuela BIT and International Law

Site: Paris

Outcome: Hearing held in February 2012; further Hearing held in

October 2013; Award pending.

15. ICSID Bernhard von Pezold & Ors v Republic of Zimbabwe

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person Tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Michael Hwang (Sing), L Yves Fortier CC QC (Canada)

(Pres.)

Subject: Alleged expropriation of land and other assets

Applicable law: Germany – Zimbabwe and Switzerland – Zimbabwe BITs

and International Law

Site: Washington, D.C.

Outcome: Hearing held in October/November 2013.

16. **ICSID** Border Timbers Limited, Border Timbers International

(Private) Limited, and Hangani Development Co. (Private)

Limited v Republic of Zimbabwe

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person Tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Michael Hwang (Sing), L Yves Fortier CC QC (Canada)

(Pres.)

Subject: Alleged expropriation of land and other assets
Applicable law: Switzerland – Zimbabwe BIT and International Law

Site: Washington, D.C.

Outcome: Hearing held in October/November 2013.

17. ICSID Enron Creditors Recovery Corp. (formerly Enron Corp.) and

Ponderosa Assets, L.P. v Argentine Republic

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person Tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Tan Sri Dato' Cecil Abraham (Malaysia) (Pres.), Dr Kamal

Hossain (Bangladesh)

Subject: Dispute concerning investment in Argentine gas

transportation company.

Applicable law: US – Argentina BIT and International Law

Site: Washington, D.C.

Outcome: Case in abeyance pending outcome of settlement

negotiations.

18. ICSID Sempra Energy International v Argentine Republic

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person Tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. Vaughan Lowe, QC (UK) (Pres.), Dr Kamal Hossain

(Bangladesh)

Subject: Dispute concerning investment in Argentine gas companies.

Applicable law: US – Argentina BIT and International Law

Site: Washington, D.C. Outcome: Case suspended.

19. ICSID Nova Scotia Power Incorporated v Bolivarian Republic of

Venezuela

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person Tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. Hans van Houtte (Belgium) (Pres.), Prof. Raul Emilio

Vinuesa (Argentina)

Subject: Dispute concerning termination of coal supply agreement.

Applicable law: Canada – Venezuela BIT and International Law

Site: Paris

Outcome: Hearing on Jurisdiction held June 2013; Award finding no

jurisdiction issued April 2014.

20. UNCITRAL Rules Consortium of Foreign Investors v Central Asian State

Role: Chairman of a three-person Tribunal

Co-arbitrators: L Yves Fortier CC QC (Canada), Bernard Hanotiau (Belgium)

Subject: Dispute concerning termination of mining concession.

Applicable law: Energy Charter Treaty and International Law

Site: Paris

Outcome: Hearing on Jurisdiction held May 2012; Decision on

Jurisdiction issued 25 July 2012; Hearing on Liability held

November 2013; Award pending.

21. ICSID Tza Yap Shum v Republic of Peru

Role: Member of a three-person Tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Judge Dominique Hascher (France) (Pres.), Prof. Donald M.

McRae (NZ/Canada)

Subject: Annulment proceeding.

Applicable law: China – Peru BIT and International Law

Site: Washington, D.C.

Outcome: Resigned December 2012 due to a potential conflict of

interest which arose (and was disclosed) in November 2012.

22. ICSID Adel A Hamadi Al Tamimi v Sultanate of Oman

Role: President of a three-person Tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Judge Charles N. Brower (US), Christopher Thomas QC

(UK)

Subject: Dispute concerning alleged expropriation of limestone

quarrying and crushing concession.

Applicable law: US – Oman FTA and International Law

Site: Washington, D.C.
Outcome: Hearing held April 2014.

23. ICSID Sudapet Company Limited v Republic of South Sudan

Role: Party-appointed member of a three-person Tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Gavan Griffith QC (Australia), Prof. Campbell McLachlan QC

(New Zealand) (Pres.)

Subject: Dispute concerning alleged expropriation of petroleum and

hydrocarbon interests.

Applicable law: Southern Sudan Investment Protection Act 2009

Site: London

Outcome: Hearing on Jurisdiction and Liability scheduled for July 2015.

24. ICSID Ping An Life Insurance Company of China, Limited and Ping

An Life Insurance (Group) Company of China, Limited v

Kingdom of Belgium

Role: Party-appointed member of a three-person Tribunal Co-arbitrators: Philippe Sands (UK), Lord Collins (UK) (Pres.)

Subject: Dispute concerning measures taken by Belgian government

during 2008 financial crisis.

Applicable law: China – EU BIT and International Law

Site: The Hague

Outcome: Hearing on Jurisdiction scheduled for November 2014.

INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

25. Ad Hoc NZ Company v Australian Company

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: International commercial contract

Applicable law: New Zealand

Site: Wellington, New Zealand

Outcome: Award issued in 1994 after written and oral proceedings.

26. AAA Californian Company v Canadian Company

Role: Member of three-person tribunal Subject: Breach of written guarantee

Applicable law: California

Site: San Diego, California

Outcome: Preliminary conference October 1995; claim settled.

27. AAA US Company v Canadian Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal
Co-arbitrators: J Elder QC (Canada), W Kocher (USA)
Subject: International licensing agreement

Applicable law: Ontario

Site: Toronto, Canada

Outcome: Interim Award on liability issued in October 1996 after five-

month hearing; settlement in May 1997 prior to

commencement of damages phase.

28. ICC Australian Company v South Pacific Government and English

Company

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Joint venture financing

Applicable law: Vanuatu Site: Vanuatu

Outcome: Written and oral proceedings in 1997; Interim Awards issued

in November 1997 and August 1998; Final Award delivered June 1999; Queensland High Court decision granting leave to enforce interim award upheld in Court of Appeal of Queensland in June 2000: see *Commonwealth Development Corp v A J Montague* [2000] QCA 252, noted in Mealey's Int

Arb Rep Vol 15, Iss 7 at 7.

29. **LCIA** French Company v Jordanian Company and Jordanian

Nationals

Role: Member of three-person tribunal Subject: International licensing agreement

Applicable law: France
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

30. ICC South Pacific Government Corporation v Australian

Partnership

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: International construction contract

Applicable law: Vanuatu Site: Vanuatu

Outcome: Written and oral proceedings 1998 – 1999; Consent Award

on liability issued August 1999; Award on interest and costs

issued December 2000.

31. AAA US Partnership v Japanese Partnership

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: J N Creer (Australia), C R Thomson QC (Canada)
Subject: International contract and fiduciary obligations of partners

Applicable law: New York Site: New York

Outcome: Preliminary hearings April-May 1998; case settled.

32. ICC Canadian Company v Irish and UK Companies

Role: Sole arbitrator Subject: Patent infringement

Applicable law: Ontario

Site: Toronto, Canada Outcome: Case settled.

33. Ad Hoc NZ Company v US and Korean Companies

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Worldwide licence agreement

Applicable law: New Zealand

Site: Auckland, New Zealand

Outcome: Written and oral proceedings in February 2000; Award

issued September 2000.

34. LCIA Swiss and British Virgin Island Companies v English and

French Companies

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: P Gross QC (England), Prof. P Bernardini (Italy) Subject: International sales contracts and insurance

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

35. Ad Hoc International Joint Venture Companies v Airport Authority

Role: Sole arbitrator sitting with Engineering Assessor

Subject: International construction contract

Applicable law: England Site: London

Outcome: Preliminary hearings in Hong Kong November 2000; Case

settled.

36. SIAC International Consortium of Banks v Indonesian Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Dr Z M Yatim (Malaysia), W T Chu (Singapore)

Subject: Banking contracts, guarantees, agency

Applicable law: England Site: Singapore

Outcome: Preliminary hearing November 2000; Substantive hearing

May 2001; Award issued July 2001.

37. **SIAC** German Bank v Indonesian Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Dr Z M Yatim (Malaysia), W T Chu (Singapore)

Subject: Banking contracts, guarantees, agency

Applicable law: England Site: Singapore

Outcome: Preliminary hearing May 2001; Substantive hearing June

2001; Award issued October 2001.

38. LCIA Finnish Contractor v German Subcontractor

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Australian construction project

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

39. Ad Hoc Indian State Owned Enterprise v US company

Role Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: D F Donovan (USA), Hon. Justice P C Mishra (India)

Subject: Dispute arising from shareholders' agreement involving

electric power distribution company

Applicable law: India

Site: Bhubaneswar, India

Outcome: Preliminary conference July 2004; Substantive hearing on

liability August 2005; Partial Final Award issued June 2007;

Award on costs issued May 2010.

40. **UNCITRAL Rules** US company v Australian company

Role Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: T Landau QC (England), P Chidambaram SA (India)

Subject Dispute arising from Joint Operating Agreement relating to

off-shore gas exploration and development

Applicable law: Indian Site: London

Outcome: Substantive hearing September 2002; Partial Award issued

March 2003; Final Award issued December 2003.

41. LCIA US company v English Insurers

Role Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. W W Park (USA), Rt Hon. Sir Christopher Staughton

(England)

Subject Political risks insurance and alleged governmental

expropriation

Applicable law: England Site: London

Outcome: Preliminary hearings May 2003; Case settled.

42. LCIA Guernsey (Channel Islands) company v Panamanian

company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. Julian Lew QC (England), Peter Leaver QC (England)

Subject: Shareholders dispute

Applicable law: England Site: London

Outcome: Preliminary hearings May 2003; Case settled.

43. **UNCITRAL Rules** State Entity v Italian Joint Venture

Role Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: John Marrin QC (England), Hon Justice Zahid (Pakistan)
Subject Major construction project in Pakistan involving FIDIC

contract

Applicable law: Pakistan
Site: Lahore, Pakistan

Outcome: Preliminary hearing November 2003; Substantive

proceedings January 2006; Case settled.

44. ICC US Insurance Company v United Arab Emirates Joint Stock

Company

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. K H Boeckstiegel (Chairman) (Germany), Prof. H van

Houtte (Belgium)

Subject: Insurance claim concerning telecommunications satellite

Applicable law: England Site: Paris

Outcome: Preliminary hearing October 2003; Substantive hearing

August 2007; Case settled.

45. **LCIA** UK company v Spanish company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Rt Hon. Sir Anthony Evans (England), Lord Dervaird

(Scotland)

Subject: Contractual disputes concerning coal trade

Applicable law: England

Site: London

Outcome: Preliminary hearings October 2003 and May 2004. Case

settled.

46. LCIA US/German Banks v English and Bermuda Insurers

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: L Yves Fortier CC QC (Canada), Judge C N Brower (USA)
Subject Political risks insurance, alleged governmental expropriation

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

47. LCIA Israeli Corporation v US Corporation

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject Alleged breach of joint venture agreement

Applicable law: New York Site: London

Outcome: Preliminary conference October 2003; Partial Award

(Summary Judgment) issued December 2004; Substantive

hearing April 2005; Final Award October 2005.

48. Ad Hoc New Zealand Company v Australian Company

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Intellectual Property dispute in respect of trade name

Applicable law: New Zealand and Australia Site: Auckland, New Zealand

Outcome: Hearing in April 2003; Partial Award delivered May 2004;

Further hearings December 2004; Award on Liability issued January 2005; Award on Damages issued February 2005;

Award on costs issued June 2005.

49. UNCITRAL Rules Two Indonesian Companies v Malaysian Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. H Priyata Abdurrasid (Indonesia), W Khoo (Singapore)

Subject: Alleged breach of warranty in corporate takeover

Applicable law: Indonesia
Site: Singapore
Outcome: Case settled.

50. **ICC** Indian Corporation v English Corporation

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Dispute arising from shareholders' agreement in relation to

joint venture company

Applicable law: India
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

51. **ICC** Australian Corporation v UK Corporations

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal.

Co-Arbitrators: Prof. Michael Pryles (Australia), B. Tozer (Australia)

Subject: Alleged breach of contract to develop a coal mine and deliver

coal to power station.

Applicable Law: England

Site: Brisbane, Australia
Outcome: Case settled.

52. **UNCITRAL Rules** State Agency v Croatian Company and Austrian Company

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Dispute concerning alleged breaches of share purchase

agreement

Applicable law: English Site: London

Outcome: Hearing on jurisdiction October 2004; Award on jurisdiction

issued March 2005; Substantive Partial Award issued January 2006; Award as to Costs issued April 2007;

Proceedings terminated by agreement January 2009.

53. **LCIA** Australian Subsidiary Company v Mozambique Subsidiary

Company

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Dispute arising out of contract for supply of oil drilling

services and equipment, applicability of standby rate in case

of delay in mobilising equipment to drilling site

Applicable law: England Site: London

Outcome: Hearing April 2005; Award issued July 2005.

54. **ICC** Philippines Subsidiary of UK Plc v Philippines Corporation

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-Arbitrators: J William Rowley QC (Canada), Jan Paulsson (France)
Subject: Alleged breach of contract for supply of Electricity

Generation Station

Applicable law: Philippines

Site: Manila, Philippines

Outcome: Interim measures of protection ordered July 2005; Case

settled.

55. **LCIA** *UK plc v Central American State*

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-Arbitrators: J William Rowley QC (Canada), Ian Glick QC (United

Kingdom)

Subject: Alleged breach of share option agreement

Applicable law: England Site: London

Outcome: Interim measures of protection ordered January 2005; Case

settled.

56. ICC UK Manufacturer v Asian State

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-Arbitrators: Vivian Ramsey QC (UK) (on his appointment to UK High

Court replaced by Stewart Boyd QC), Jan Paulsson (France),

Subject: Alleged breach of contract for supply of high-speed coastal

defence vessel

Applicable law: England Site: Zurich/London

Outcome: Hearing June 2006; Award submitted to ICC; Case settled.

57. LMAA UK Owner v New Zealand Yacht Building Company

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Super yacht building agreement dispute

Applicable law: England Site: London

Outcome: Case settled.

58. Ad hoc International Insurers v International Pharmaceutical

Manufacturer

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-Arbitrators: Kenneth Rokison QC (UK), Prof. W W Park (USA)

Subject: Insurance and Reinsurance coverage, Bermuda form

catastrophe insurance claim in pharmaceutical industry flowing from withdrawal of pain-killing drug from worldwide

markets.

Applicable law: New York Site: London

Outcome: Hearing on liability November/December 2006 and March

2007; Award on liability issued November 2007. Case

settled.

59. **SIAC** Australian National v Australian National

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Partnership agreement

Applicable law: Singapore
Site: Singapore
Outcome: Case settled.

60. European Development Fund Rules on Conciliation and Arbitration

African State v South African Company

Role: Member of three-person tribunal

Co-Arbitrators: David St John Sutton (UK) (Chair), Derek Elliot (UK)

Subject: Validity of termination of highway construction contract in

Uganda

Applicable law: Uganda Site: London

Outcome: Hearing June 2007; Partial Award issued July 2008; Case

settled.

61. UNCITRAL Rules Polish State Oil Company v Russian Oil Company

Role: Member of three-person tribunal

Co-Arbitrators: Prof. J. Martin Hunter (UK), J. William Rowley QC (Canada)

(Chair)

Subject: Oil supply contract

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

62. ICC Iranian Engineering and Construction Contractor v UK and

US Companies

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Breach of licensing contract

Applicable law: England Site: Geneva

Outcome: Award as to Jurisdiction issued April 2006; Partial Award on

Damages issued September 2006; Ruling on Costs issued

February 2007.

63. AIDA Reinsurance and Insurance Arbitration Society (ARIAS Rules)

US insurance company v Russian company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-Arbitrators: Judge C N Brower (USA), Stewart Boyd QC (UK)
Subject: Directors and Officers Liability Insurance coverage claim

Applicable law: England Site London

Outcome: Proceedings stayed 2006.

64. **AAA** Vanuatu company v Irish company

Role: Party-appointed member of a three-person tribunal
Co-arbitrators: Alan Redfern (UK) (Chair), Samuel Haubold (USA)
Subject: Distribution Agreement in relation to internet pop-up ads

Applicable law: New York Site: London

Outcome: Hearing October 2006; Award on Liability January 2007;

Hearing on damages May and July 2008; Award on

damages issued December 2008.

65. **ICC** Indian Company v Indian Company

Role: Chairman of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: David Brynmor Thomas (UK), Todd Wetmore (USA)
Subject: Telecommunications Joint Venture, shareholders' dispute

Applicable law: India Site: Paris

Outcome: Proceedings terminated by ICC Court.

66. ICC French National v Indian Nationals

Role: Chairman of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Harish N Salve (India), Andrew Onslow QC (UK)

Subject: Joint venture dispute

Applicable law: India Site: London

Outcome: Award on Jurisdiction February 2007; Substantive hearing

November 2007 and March/May 2008; Partial Final Award against First Respondent issued January 2010; Award

against Second Respondent issued July 2011.

67. ICC Isle of Man Corporation v African Government

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Rayner M Hamilton Esq (USA), Cherie Booth QC (UK) Subject: Joint venture agreement relating to steel plant in Nigeria.

Applicable law: Nigeria Site: London

Outcome: Hearing September 2007; Award issued June 2008; Award

on costs and interest issued.

68. LCIA British Virgin Isles Corporation v Cypriot Companies

Role: Chairman of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Sir Martin Nourse (UK), Per Runeland (UK)

Subject: Shareholders' Dispute concerning Russian corporation

Applicable law: Cyprus
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

69. LCIA London Company v US Company and Subsidiaries

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: The Right Honourable Lord Steyn (UK) (Chair), Stewart Boyd

QC (UK)

Subject: Political Risk Insurance relating to alleged expropriation

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

70. ICC US Company v Japanese Company

Role: Chairman of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Jay Lapin (US), Prof. Masabumi Suzuki (Japan)

Subject: Licence Agreement dispute

Applicable law: New York
Site: Japan
Outcome: Case settled.

71. Ad hoc Australian Company v New Zealand Company

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Drilling services contract

Applicable law: New Zealand Site: Auckland

Outcome: Hearing April 2007; Substantive Award issued May 2007.

72. Ad hoc US Company v UK Company

Role: Chairman of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Judge Abner Mikva (US), Sir Christopher Staughton (UK)
Subject: Insurance coverage dispute, pharmaceutical industry,

isurance coverage dispute, pharmaceutical industry,

Bermuda form

Applicable law: New York
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

73. **LCIA** *UK Aircraft Financing Companies v Group of Reinsurers*

Role: Chairman of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Samuel Haubold (US), Ian Hunter QC (UK)

Subject: Insurance, reinsurance coverage dispute, commercial

aviation industry

Applicable law: England Site: London

Outcome: Interim Award July 2007 and upheld by English Court; Case

settled.

74. ICC Canadian Company v US Company

Role: Chairman of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: William Horton (Canada), Rayner Hamilton (US)
Subject: Licensing agreement, alleged breach of contract

Applicable law: Ontario, Canada

Site: Toronto

Outcome: Hearings April 2008; Award issued November 2008.

75. **UNCITRAL Rules** Dutch Company v Italian Company

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (Swiss) (Chair), Graham Dunning

QC (UK)

Subject: Sale and purchase of company shares

Applicable law: England and Netherlands

Site: Geneva
Outcome: Case settled.

76. ICC Cayman Islands Company v Cayman Islands Company

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal Co-arbitrators: Gerald Aksen (USA) Chair, Lord Michael Mustill (UK)

Subject: Telecommunications

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

77. ICC Hong Kong Company v BVI Company

Role: Chairman of a three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: James Carter (US), Sally Harpole (Hong Kong)

Subject: Commercial property, loan agreement relating to Shopping

Centre development in Beijing

Applicable law: New York Site: Hong Kong

Outcome: Hearings in 2007 and 2008; Final Award issued August

2009.

78. ICC Pakistan Company v Pakistan Company

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Christopher Lau SC (Singapore) (Chair), Prof. Michael Pryles

(Australia)

Subject: Gas Supply Agreement

Applicable law: England Site: Singapore

Outcome: Hearing June 2008; Award issued December 2008.

79. **ICC** Netherlands' Corporation v Philippines' Corporation

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Douglas Jones (Australia) (Chair), Prof. William W Park

(USA)

Subject: Gas sale and distribution agreement

Applicable law: England Site: Hong Kong

Outcome: Hearing May 2009; Partial Award issued August 2009;

Consent Award issued September 2010.

80. Ad Hoc Louisiana Corporation v Swiss Insurer

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: V V Veeder QC (UK), A Stevens Clay (USA)

Subject: Insurance claim relating to New Orleans Hotel damaged in

Hurricane Katrina

Applicable law: Undetermined Site: London Case settled.

81. **LCIA** NZ Telco v NZ Telco

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Alleged breaches of contract Site: Auckland, New Zealand

Outcome: Hearing completed September 2008; Award issued

November 2008.

82. ICC German Company v. German Company

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Alan Redfern (UK) (Chair), Richard Fernyhough QC (UK)

Subject: Alleged breaches of gas supply agreement

Applicable law: England
Site: Paris
Outcome: Case settled.

83. **LCIA** Spanish Company v. Indian Company

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Alleged breaches of co-operation agreement

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

84. ICC Texas Limited Liability Company v. Tanzanian State

Corporation

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: John Marrin QC (UK), Sir Philip Otton (UK)
Subject: Alleged breach of power take-off agreement

Applicable law: Tanzania

Site: Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

Outcome: Substantive hearing held in Dubai during April 2010; Award

issued November 2010.

85. ICC Portuguese Company v. Australian Corporation

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. John Uff QC (UK), Hon. Ian Callinan AC, QC (Australia)

Subject: Alleged breach of vessel services agreement

Applicable law: Australia
Site: Singapore
Outcome: Case settled.

86. ICC French & Dutch Corporations v. Australian Companies

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Alleged breaches of shareholder agreement

Applicable law: Australian law (New South Wales)

Site: Sydney, Australia

Outcome: Hearings held December 2009 and April 2010; Partial Final

Award issued December 2010; further hearing held April 2011; Second Partial Final Award issued June 2011; Case

settled.

87. ICC Japanese Corporation v. United States Corporation

Role: Chairman of three-member tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Alan Redfern (UK), Judge Charles N. Brower (USA)

Subject: Alleged breaches of development and distribution agreement

Applicable law: Pennsylvania

Site: Philadelphia, United States of America

Outcome: Hearing held April 2010; Partial Final Award issued October

2010; further hearing held March 2011; Second Partial Final Award issued August 2011; Final hearing held November 2011; Third Final Award Issued February 2013; Final

Hearing Scheduled for later in 2013.

88. ICC British Company v. Channel Islands, Swiss, Italian, Korean,

Uruguayan and Mexican Companies

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Judge Charles N. Brower (USA), Sir Simon Tuckey (UK)
Subject: Alleged breaches of agreements to purchase steel products

Applicable law: English Law

Site: London, United Kingdom

Outcome: Hearing on preliminary issues held July 2010; Award issued

December 2010; Case settled.

89. UNCITRAL Rules British Company v. Singapore Company

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Michael Hwang SC (Singapore), Vinayak Pradhan (Malaysia)

(Chairman)

Subject: Alleged breaches of contract for provision of accommodation

vessel

Applicable law: Undecided Site: Singapore Outcome: Case settled.

90. Ad Hoc Bermuda Company v. Bermuda Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Kenneth Rokison QC (UK), Charles B. Renfrew (USA)

Subject: Reinsurance dispute

Applicable law: New York Site: Bermuda

Outcome: Hearing held December 2010; Partial Final Award issued

March 2011; Settlement reached as to costs.

91. ICC Pakistan Company v. Pakistan Statutory Corporation

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. Michael Pryles (Australia), Timothy Young QC (UK)

Subject: Alleged breaches of power purchase agreement

Applicable law: Pakistan Site: Singapore

Outcome: Partial Award issued June 2010; Final hearing held May

2012; Award pending.

92. **LCIA** Jersey Company v Russian Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Dr Julian Lew QC (UK), Hilary Heilbron QC (UK)

Subject: Alleged breach of contract in respect of the sale of crude oil

Applicable law: England Site: London

Outcome: Partial Final Award issued January 2010; Final Award issued

June 2010.

93. LCIA Australian Companies v Argentinian Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Guido Santiago Tawil (Argentina), Michael Polkinghorne

(Australia/based in France)

Alleged breach of contract by failing to take delivery of Subject:

coking coal

Applicable law: England London Site: Outcome: Case settled.

94. **UNCITRAL Rules** British Virgin Islands Company v Malaysian Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Kenneth Tan, S.C (Singapore), Dato V.C. George (Kuala

Lumpar)

Subject: Alleged breach of Share Sale Agreement and Supplemental

Share Sale Agreement.

Malaysia Applicable law: Singapore Site:

Hearing held November 2010; Partial Final Award issued Outcome:

October 2011. Settlement reached as to costs.

95. ICC Dutch and Isle of Man Companies v USA Company

Chairman of three-person tribunal Role:

James C. Freund (USA), Prof. William W. Park (USA). Co-arbitrators:

Subject: Alleged breach of contract.

Applicable law: New York

London, United Kingdom Site:

Case settled. Outcome:

96. **LCIA** German Finance Professional v Luxembourg Companies

Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal Role: Co-arbitrators: Prof. Bernard Hanotiau (Belgium), John Beechey (UK) Subject:

Alleged breaches of consultancy agreement and call option

agreement

England Applicable law: London Site:

Outcome: Hearing held January 2011; Case settled.

97. SIAC United States Company v Indonesian Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. Michael Pryles (Australia), Toby Landau QC (UK) Alleged breach of coal sale and purchase agreement Subject:

Applicable law: England Singapore Site: Case settled. Outcome:

UNCITRAL Rules Indonesian Company v Indonesian Companies 98.

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. Michael Pryles (Australia), David R. Haigh QC

(Canada) (President)

Alleged breach of coal mining supply agreement Subject:

Applicable law: Queensland, Australia

Site: Singapore

Hearing held March 2011; Partial Award issued December Outcome:

2011; Final Award issued September 2012.

ICC 99. Turkish Company v Russian Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal Co-arbitrators: Richard Fernyhough, QC (UK), John Blackburn, QC (UK)

Subject: Dispute regarding construction of a large commercial

complex

Applicable law: English, Russian

Site: London, United Kingdom

Outcome: Hearings held May, June and September 2011; Final hearing

held November 2011; Partial Final Award Issued February

2013; Final Hearing Scheduled for April 2013.

100. Ad hoc Barbados Company and Canadian Company v Bermuda

Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Robert J Cunningham (USA) and Steven Klugman (USA)

Subject: Reinsurance Applicable law: New York

Site: London, United Kingdom

Outcome: Case settled.

101. UNCITRAL Rules Chinese Company v Tanzanian State Corporation

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: John Marrin QC (UK) and Stephen Furst QC (UK)
Subject: Contractual dispute arising out of roading contract

Applicable law: Tanzania

Site: Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

Outcome: Case settled.

102. ICC United States Company v Indian Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Andrew Foyle (UK) and Justice Dr B P Saraf (India)

Subject: Alleged breaches of ISDA Master Agreement in respect of

certain derivatives transactions.

Applicable law: England

Site: London, United Kingdom

Outcome: Case settled.

103. ICC United States Company v UAE Company

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal Co-arbitrators: Michael Collins QC (UK), Michael Lee (UK) (Chair)

Subject: Alleged breaches of supply contract.

Applicable law: England

Site: London, United Kingdom

Outcome: Case settled.

104. UNCITRAL Rules Indonesian Company v Indonesian Companies

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. Michael Pryles (Australia), David R. Haigh QC

(Canada) (President)

Subject: Dispute regarding price-review under coal mining supply

agreement

Applicable law: Queensland, Australia

Site: Singapore

Outcome: Hearing held in December 2011; Partial Award issued March

2012; Further hearing scheduled for May 2013.

105. ICC French, Japanese and Turkish Companies v State

Department

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Mr R. A. Shadbolt (UK), Professor Wolfgang Wiegand

(Switzerland)

Subject: Dispute regarding termination of contract for the design and

construction of a major railway project

Applicable law: Turkey

Site: Zurich, Switzerland.

Outcome: Phase one hearing scheduled for July 2013.

106. LCIA US Insured v English Insurers

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Sir Simon Tuckey (UK), Hon. Abraham D. Sofaer (US)

Subject: Political risk insurance coverage dispute

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

107. **LCIA** US and Czech Insured v English Insurers

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Lorelie Masters (US), William Wood QC (UK)

Subject: Trade credit insurance dispute

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

108. **LCIA** US and Czech Insured v English Insurers

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: William Wood QC (UK), William Rowley QC (Canada)

Subject: Trade credit insurance dispute

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

109. LCIA US and Czech Insured v English Insurer

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Joseph Dehner (US), Jonathan Hirst QC (UK)

Subject: Trade credit insurance dispute

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

110. ICC Indian and Spanish Companies v Indian State Entity and

Indian Joint Venture Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: David St John Sutton (UK), Justice R Jayasimha Babu

(India)

Subject: Construction of desalination plant

Applicable law: India
Site: London

Outcome: Case stayed pending settlement.

111. ICC Spanish Company v Indian State Entities and

Indian Joint Venture Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: David St John Sutton (UK), Justice R Jayasimha Babu

(India)

Subject: Shareholder dispute

Applicable law: India Site: London

Outcome: Case staved pending settlement.

112. ICC Australian Company v Pakistani Provincial Government

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Lord Collins (UK) (Chairman), Dr Michael J Moser (Hong

Kong)

Subject: Joint venture mining dispute

Applicable law: India Site: London

Outcome: Preliminary hearing held June 2014.

113. UNCITRAL Rules Private Equity Investor v Chinese Company

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Matthew Gearing (Chair) (England), Robert Pe (Hong Kong)

Subject: Shareholder dispute
Applicable law: New York and Hong Kong

Site: Hong Kong

Outcome: Preliminary procedures underway.

114. **LCIA** BVI and Bermuda Companies v Bahrain Company

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Share-purchase agreement

Applicable law: England Site: Bahrain

Outcome: Case stayed pending settlement.

115. **ICC** Japanese Company v German Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-Arbitrators: Dr Michael J. Moser (Hong Kong); Dr Klaus Sachs

(Germany)

Subject: Joint-venture agreement Applicable law: England and Japan

Site: London

Outcome: Hearing held April 2014.

116. UNCITRAL Rules Indonesian Company v Indonesian Companies

Role: Party-appointed member of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Max Bonnell (Australia), J William Rowley QC (Canada)

(President)

Subject: Dispute regarding price-review under coal mining supply

agreement

Applicable law: Queensland, Australia

Site: Singapore

Outcome: Partially settled; case suspended pending outcome of other

disputes.

117. **SIAC** Philippines Company v Philippines Corporation

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Michael Crane QC (UK); David Goodwin (US)

Subject: Share Purchase Agreement

Applicable law: England
Site: London
Outcome: Case settled.

118. **SIAC** Japanese Corporation v Korean Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Professor Michael Pryles (Australia); Professor Michael Lee

(UK)

Subject: Dispute over interpretation of consortium agreement about

payment for litigation

Applicable law: England Site: Singapore

Outcome: Hearing scheduled for October 2014.

119. ICC Saudi Individual v United States Companies

Role: Sole arbitrator.

Subject: Alleged breach of shareholder agreement in healthcare joint

venture

Applicable law: England Site: DIFC

Outcome: Hearing scheduled for September 2014.

120. ICC Turkish Company v Japanese Company

Role: Party-appointed member of three person tribunal Co-arbitrators: Ali Yesilirmak (Istanbul); Pierre Tercier (Switzerland)

Subject: Alleged breach of contract for supply of technology to

Turkish entity

Applicable law: Swiss

Site: Zurich, Switzerland

Outcome: Hearing scheduled for mid-2015.

121. **SIAC** American Company v Hong Kong Company

Role: Sole arbitrator

Subject: Breach of sale and purchase agreements for coking coal

Applicable law: Singapore Site: Singapore

Outcome: Hearing scheduled for February 2015.

122. Ad hoc (UNCITRAL) Swiss Company v Russian Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Prof. William Park (US); Per Runeland (Sweden)
Subject: Alleged breach of agreement to provide launch services

Applicable law: California, USA Site: Stockholm

Outcome: Hearing scheduled for December 2014.

123. Ad Hoc New Zealand Company v German Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Hon. Barry Paterson QC (NZ); John Rowland QC (NZ)

Subject: Breach of reinsurance contract

Applicable law: New Zealand Site: New Zealand

Outcome: Hearing scheduled for December 2014.

124. PCA (UNCITRAL) Lesotho and South African Companies v State

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Doak Bishop (US); Justice PM Nienaber (Sth Africa)

Subject: Breach of Mining Lease

Applicable law: English Site: Singapore

Outcome: Preliminary procedures underway.

125. **LCIA** US Companies v Saudi Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Hon. Herbert J Stern (US); Sir Gordon Langley (UK) Subject: Breach of a franchise termination agreement

Applicable law: English

Site: London, England

Outcome: Hearing scheduled for September and November 2014.

126. ICC Netherlands and BVI Companies v Vietnamese State Entity

Role: Party-appointed member of three person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Yves Derains (France); Gary Born (US)

Subject: Breach of Production Sharing Agreement and related

taxation issues

Applicable law: Singapore Site: Singapore

Outcome: Hearing scheduled for December 2014.

127. ICC French and Japanese Companies v American Company and

ors

Role: Party-appointed member of three person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: John Rowley QC (UK); Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (Swiss)

(Chair)

Subject: Dispute over gas production and supply arrangements

Applicable law: New York, United States

Site: France

Outcome: Preliminary procedures underway.

128. Ad Hoc American Company v American Company

Role: Chairman of three-person tribunal

Co-arbitrators: Sam Haubold (UK); Kenneth Rokison QC (UK)

Subject: Breach of reinsurance contracts

Applicable law: New York, United States

Site: London

Outcome: Preliminary procedures underway.

129. **LCIA** American Company v New Caledonian Company

Role: Sole Arbitrator

Co-arbitrators: -

Subject: Breach of construction contract

Applicable law: English

Site: Sydney, Australia

Outcome: Preliminary procedures underway.

130. UNCITRAL French Company and ors v New Zealand Company

Party-appointed member of three person tribunal Role: Co-arbitrators: Gary Born (US); Chairman yet to be appointed

Subject: Breach of supply agreement

Applicable law: English Singapore Site:

Preliminary procedures underway. Outcome:

131. **ICC** Oman Companies v State

Role:

Chairman of three-person tribunal Timothy Young QC (UK); John Marrin QC (UK) Co-arbitrators:

Breach of construction contract Subject:

Applicable law: Oman

Site: Muscat, Oman

Outcome: Preliminary procedures underway.

DOMESTIC ARBITRATION

Chairman or sole arbitrator in numerous domestic commercial arbitrations in New Zealand and Australia including the following major arbitrations:

Sole arbitrator in the first major share valuation case under the minority buyout provisions of s 112 of the Companies Act 1993 - extensive hearings in Wellington, New Zealand July 2000 - award delivered in June 2001 determining that the minority shareholder was entitled to a further payment of NZ\$11 million for its shares.

Sole arbitrator in heavy construction dispute over large multi-storey city building - two week hearing in 1999 - four separate awards issued 1999 - 2000, Auckland, New Zealand.

Sole arbitrator in dispute over sale of substantial business - three week hearing in Auckland during 2000 - award delivered February 2001 - Auckland, New Zealand.

Member of three person Arbitral Tribunal in dispute over Electricity Market Participant Fees - award delivered January 2003, Sydney, Australia.

Sole arbitrator in domestic arbitration which was nevertheless conducted in accordance with LCIA Rules - see case 66 above.

Member of three person Arbitral Tribunal in insurance dispute - Wellington, New Zealand (ongoing).

Counsel in numerous domestic arbitrations in disputes involving contract, shareholder issues, construction, rental valuations and intellectual property.

EXPERIENCE IN INTERNATIONAL EXPERT DETERMINATION

1. Ad Hoc Middle Eastern State v Foreign Concessionaire

Role: Party-appointed expert

Subject Interpretation of Concession Agreement relating to Power

Station

Applicable law: Oman

Site: Muscat, Oman

Outcome: Decision issued August 2005.

2. Ad Hoc UK company v UK companies

Role: Party-appointed expert

Subject Interpretation of clause of gas sales agreement

Applicable law: England Site: London

Outcome: Hearing in November 2007; Determination issued February

2008.

EXPERIENCE IN SPORTS ARBITRATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Thoroughbred Horse Racing

Numerous cases involving thoroughbred horse racing including many hearings as member of the Judicial Committee of Auckland Racing Club and also as a member of Appeals Tribunals appointed by the Judicial Control Authority under the New Zealand Racing Act.

New Zealand Bowls Association

S v Bowls NZ Inc

Role: Sole Arbitrator

Subject Appeal against penalty imposed for misconduct during bowls

tournament

Applicable Law: New Zealand

Site: Auckland, New Zealand

Outcome: Appeal dismissed in Award dated 21 May 2003.

INTERNATIONAL SPORTS ARBITRATION

Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS)

1. **CAS**

Role: Member of three-person CAS Panel

Co-Arbitrators: Alan Sullivan QC (Australia) (President), Sir Thomas

Eichelbaum (New Zealand)

Subject: Appeal by Yachting New Zealand against decision of New

Zealand Sports Disputes Tribunal relating to Yachting Federation Inc nominations for Laser and Mens 470 classes

for 2004 Athens Olympics New Zealand

Applicable Law: Swiss/New Zealand Site: Auckland, New Zealand

Outcome: Hearing in April 2004; Award issued April 2004; Award No. 2

on Costs issued July 2004.

2. CAS

Role: Member of three-person CAS Panel

Co-Arbitrators: Kaj Hober (Sweden) (President), Yves Fortier (Canada)
Subject: Appeal by US 4x400m relay team at Sydney Olympics

against decision of IAAF to revise final placings after the

doping offence of Mr Jerome Young

Applicable Law: IAAF Rules
Site: London, England

Outcome: Award issued 20 July 2005.

3. CAS

Role: President of three-person CAS Panel

Co-Arbitrators: Alan Sullivan (Australia), Kaj Hober (Sweden)

Subject: Appeal against decision of International Canoe Federation to

sanction Mr Nathan Baggaley for a period of two years.

Site: Sydney, Australia

Outcome: Partial Final Award dismissing claim due to time limitation

issued 29 December 2006.

4. CAS

President of three-person CAS Panel Role:

Christoph Vedder (Germany), Peter Grilc (Slovenia) Co-Arbitrators:

Subject: Appeal by IAAF against a decision of the Athletics Assn of

Sri Lanka to exonerate Jani Chathurangani Chandra Silva of

a doping offence.

Site: Lausanne, Switzerland

Outcome: Award on Jurisdiction 30 October 2007; Award on Merits

issued April 2008.

5. CAS

President of three-person CAS Panel. Role:

Jeffrey Benz (US), Michele Bernasconi (Switzerland) Co-Arbitrators:

Appeal against decision of the International Skating Union to Subject:

sanction US Speed Skater Mr Anthony Lobello for failure to

provide an up-to-date record of his whereabouts.

Site: Lausanne, Switzerland

Outcome: Award issued 6 December 2007.

CAS 6.

Role: President of three-person CAS Panel

Co-Arbitrators: Jan Paulsson (France) and David Rivkin (US)

Appeal by Floyd Landis against the decision of the USADA in Subject:

relation to a doping offence following the 2006 Tour de

France

New York, USA Site:

Outcome: Award issued June 2008.

7. CAS

Role: Member of three-person CAS Panel

Malcolm Homes QC (Australia), Alan Sullivan (Australia) Co-Arbitrators: Appeal by IRB and the WADA against the decision of the Subject:

Australian Rugby Union (ARU) to exonerate rugby player

Luke Troy of a doping offence.

Site: Sydney, Australia

Outcome: Award issued August 2009.

8. CAS

Role: Member of three-person CAS Panel

Co-Arbitrators: Petros Mavroidis (Greece) (President), David Askinas (US) Subject:

Appeal by the Samoa Football Federation against a decision

of FIFA.

Site: Lausanne, Switzerland Outcome: Award issued May 2009.

9. CAS

Member of three-person CAS Panel Role:

Hon. Roger Gyles AO, QC (Australia) (President), Hon. Co-Arbitrators:

Andrew Rogers QC (Australia)

Subject: Alleged breach of a Manager Services Agreement in respect

of the Qantas Socceroos

Site: Lausanne, Switzerland

Outcome: Award on jurisdiction issued August 2009.

10. CAS

Role: President of three-person CAS Panel

Co-Arbitrators: Prof. Ulrich Haas (Switzerland), Prof. Massimo Coccia (Italy)
Subject: Application by IAAF to lengthen two-year ban for anti-doping

infringements by Russian athletes

Site: Lausanne, Switzerland Outcome: Award issued July 2009.

11. Ad hoc

Role: Member of three-person Tribunal

Co-Arbitrators: Hon. Michael H. McHugh QC (Australia) (Chairman),

Anthony J. Meagher SC (Australia)

Subject: Alleged breach of a Manager Services Agreement

Site: Sydney, Australia Outcome: Case settled.

12. CAS

Role: President of three-person Tribunal

Co-Arbitrators: Prof. Grilc (Slovenia) and Prof. Jin Huang (China)

Subject: Appeal over finding of breach of contract to attend

tournament.

Site: Lausanne, Switzerland Outcome: Award issued March 2014.

13. CAS

Role: President of three-person CAS Panel

Co-Arbitrators: Hon. Barry Paterson QC (NZ), and Alan Sullivan QC

(Australia)

Subject: Appeal over alleged whereabouts failures

Site: Auckland, New Zealand

Outcome: Hearing held June 2014; Award pending.

JUDICIAL EXPERIENCE - MAIN JUDGMENTS - HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

During the period 1991 to 1994 when a Judge of the High Court of New Zealand gave a number of important decisions in the field of commercial law, contract law, utilities regulation and intellectual property. Amongst the decisions in the intellectual property field was the major trade mark case involving a Levi Strauss trade mark which is reported as *Levi Strauss& Co v Kimbyr Investments Limited* [1994] Fleet Street Reports 335; [1994] 1 NZLR 332. A list of the main reported judgments follows:

Maori Trustee v Prentice [1992] 3 NZLR 344 - Property Law

Darvell v Auckland District Legal Services Committee [1993] 1 NZLR 111 - Administrative Law - Legal Aid

Artifakts *Design Group Ltd v NP Rigg Ltd* [1993] 1 NZLR 196 - Copyright Infringement - Passing Off – Breach of Contract - Fair Trading Act

Re Southern World Airlines Limited [1993] 1 NZLR 597 - Company Law: Scheme of Arrangement

Re Pountney [1992] NZFLR 24 - Matrimonial Property

Maddever v Umawera School Board of Trustees [1993] 2 NZLR 478 - Administrative Law - Judicial Review of Actions of School Trustees

Telecom Directories Limited v Adviser NZ Limited [1992] 26 - Intellectual Property Reports 37 - Comparative Advertising - Misleading and Deceptive Conduct

Cox v Commissioner of Inland Revenue [1992] 17 Tax Reports New Zealand 153 -Deductions - Legal Expenses - Claim by Company Director

Willis v Castelein [1993] 3 NZLR 103 - Contract - Sale of Land - Whether duty of care is owed in tort

B v Dentists Disciplinary Tribunal [1994] 1 NZLR 95 - Administrative Law - Admissibility of Video Conference Evidence

Machinery Movers Limited v Auckland Regional Council [1994] 1 NZLR 492 - Environmental Law

Levi Strauss & Co v Kimbyr Investments Limited [1994] Fleet Street Reports, 335; [1994] 1 NZLR 332- Trade Mark Infringement - Confusing Similarity - Passing Off - Fair Trading

JUDGMENTS AS JUDGE OF HIGH COURT OF COOK ISLANDS

Formerly a Judge of the High Court of the Cook Islands and Chief Justice of Cook Islands. Present member of Court of Appeal of the Cook Islands. (Part-time positions). Judgments include:

A v B and Others [2002] 4 International Trust and Estate Law Reports 877 - Asset Protection Legislation - Application of Secrecy Provisions.

Market Beach Pty Ltd Hugh Henry & Associates Ltd [12 February 2002] HC, Rarotonga, 55/99 – interpretation of Cook Islands development legislation – legality of loan agreement.

A v E and Others [10 October 2002] HC, Rarotonga, 17/2001 - Asset Protection - Discovery of Documents - Application of fraud exception to claim of legal professional privilege.

Cook Islands National Line Agency Ltd v Cook Islands Shipping Corporation Ltd [16 December 2003] Court of Appeal, CA1/03 & 2/03, Casey, Smellie and Williams JJ – interpretation of prohibitions on anti-competitive conduct contained in international shipping legislation.

Messine v Mitchell & Mitchell [18 December 2003] HC, Rarotonga, 20/03 – Sale of Goods Act 1908 – agency – unjust enrichment.

Malcolm v Tanga [26 April 2004] HC, Rarotonga, OA6/2004 – legality of Cabinet announcement deferring local elections – application of s 1 Bill of Rights Act 1688 and *Fitzgerald v Muldoon* [1975] 2 NZLR 615.

Taakoka Island Villas Limited v Tupangaia and Others [23 December 2004] HC, Rarotonga, OA 5104 – application for change of venue for hearing – analysis of constitutionality of Cook Islands Court sitting in New Zealand.

Police v Chikami & Burgess [4 October 2006], HC, Rarotonga, CR No's: 606-619/2004 – prosecutions under the Marine Resources Act for illegal fishing – relevance of principles of "soft" international law to sentencing – sentencing principles including means of offender and forfeiture.

Tupangaia and Others v Taakoka Islands Villas Limited [27 April 2007], CA, Rarotonga, CA02/2006 CA12/2006 – property law – appeal against High Court's decision to grant relief against forfeiture of lease and award of costs – whether or not exceptional circumstances existed to warrant disentitlement of remedy of relief against forfeiture – relevant principles when interfering with a lower court's discretion.

Teiri Tepa and Others v National Environment Service and Others [21 September 2007] HC, Rarotonga, Plt No. 28/06, Misc No. 63/06 – judicial review – judicial review of various consent orders granted by the defendants concerning the removal of coastal protections units and the installation of groyne and gabion structures along a beach in Rarotonga – whether or not the defendants had applied the correct provision in the Environment Act 2003 – whether or not the defendants had taken into account irrelevant considerations in reaching their decision – issues of materiality and relief also considered.

Admission application by Vakalalabure [20 December 2007] HC, Rarotonga, Misc 67/07 – application for admission – consideration of "fit and proper person" – applicant had served as the Attorney-General following the Speight coup in Fiji in 2000 – applicant found guilty in Fiji of professional misconduct – applicant convicted of certain offences under Public Order Act (Fiji) for involvement in coup and sentenced to term of imprisonment – consideration of applicable principles governing applications for admission - Admission refused.

Complaint against Norman George, Barrister & Solicitor [18 February 2008] HC, Rarotonga – consideration of professional misconduct – whether or not the defendant had acted contrary to the provisions of the Law Practitioners Act – consideration of relevant principles governing professional misconduct – defendant found guilty of professional misconduct and reprimanded – recommendation to amend and update the disciplinary provisions of the Law Practitioners Act.

Application under Section 390A of the Cooks Islands Act 1915 [28 March 2008] HC, Rarotonga; Application No. 1/07 – land law - consideration of Court's jurisdiction under Sect. 390A – interpretation of Sect. 390A(10).

Application Strickland v Ben under Section 390A of the Cook Islands Act 1915 [14 April 2008] HC Rarotonga, Application No. 9/05 – alleged mistake in land allocation on behalf of party not considered in prior judicial decision – consideration of applicable principles governing estoppel – estoppel rejected – finding that no mistake had in fact occurred – confirmation of original Occupation Right.

Marsters v Richards [9 May 2008] HC, Rarotonga, DP 4/2008 – Child abduction, father in New Zealand, Mother and child in Cook Island – consideration of what weight to afford to the Hague Convention since Cook Islands are not party– consideration of objectives of the Convention and the legal principles governing international abduction cases – decision to return abducted child to New Zealand (foreign jurisdiction).

Descendents of Utanga and Arerangi Tumu v Descendents of Iopu Tumu [24 June 2008] HC, Rarotonga, Application No. 08/01 – land law – consideration of validity of Order made in 1912 – finding that no error was made in terms of Section 390A(1) of the Cook Islands Act 1915 – validation of 1912 Order.

Re Complaint against BM [15 December 2008] HC, Rarotonga, Misc. 18/08 – complaint against practitioner – detailed consideration of applicable provisions of Law Practitioners Act – finding of professional misconduct.

EXPERIENCE AS COUNSEL

A list of reported cases in New Zealand and overseas Courts is available on request.

PRINCIPAL PUBLICATIONS

Books and Chapters in Books:

Williams & Kawharu on Arbitration (2011) Wellington, LexisNexis.

Co-Author with Simon Foote of "Recent Developments in the Approach to Identifying an 'Investment' pursuant to Article 25(1) of the ICSID Convention" chapter in *Evolution in Investment Treaty Law and Arbitration* (CUP, 2011).

"Jurisdiction and Admissibility other than Consent" in Peter Muchlinski, Federico Ortino and Christoph Schreuer (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of International Investment Law* (2008) New York, Oxford University Press.

"Interim Measures" chapter in Michael Pryles and Michael Moser (eds) *Asian Leading Arbitrators' Guide* (2007) New York, Juris Publishing.

Co-Author of New Zealand Chapter in J. William Rowley QC (ed), *Arbitration World, Jurisdictional Comparisons* (2006 and 2010) London, The European Lawyer,

Author of "Arbitration" title for The Laws of New Zealand (2001) Wellington, Butterworths.

Environmental Law in New Zealand (2nd ed, 1997) Wellington, Butterworths.

Contributing author Duncombe & Heap (eds), Australasian Dispute Resolution (1995) Sydney, LBC Information Services.

"The Development of Merger and Takeover Regulation in New Zealand" in Ahdar RJ (ed) Competition Law and Policy in New Zealand (1991) Sydney, Law Book Company.

Environment Law in New Zealand (1980) Wellington, Butterworths.

Main Articles:

"Note: Arbitrability: AG for New Zealand v Mobil" (1995) 11 Arbitration International 96.

"The New Zealand Arbitration Act - Adoption of the Model Law with Additions" [1998] 1 International Arbitration Law Review 214.

"The Confidentiality of Arbitral Proceedings under the New Zealand Arbitration Act 1996" [2000] International Arbitration Law Review N-24.

"The Further Development of International Commercial Arbitration through the Unidroit Principles of International Commercial Contracts" (1996) 2 NZBLQ 7.

"Recent Developments in Arbitration and Dispute Resolution in New Zealand" [2001] International Arbitration Law Review 41.

"Correction and Interpretation of Awards under Article 33 of the Model Law" [2001] International Arbitration Law Review 119 (with Amy Buchanan).

"Review and Recourse against Awards Rendered under Investment Treaties" (2003) 4 Journal of World Investment 251.

"Recent Developments in Arbitration in New Zealand" [2004] International Arbitration Law Review 127.

"Downer-Hill Joint Venture v Government of Fiji" [2004] International Arbitration Law Review 177 (with Julia Crockett).

New Zealand Law Review - Contributor - Arbitration and Dispute Resolution

```
Annual Reviews of Arbitration and Dispute Resolution [1989] NZ Recent Law Review 331. [1990] NZ Recent Law Review 296. [1991] NZ Recent Law Review 21 (with F J Thorp). [1992] NZ Recent Law Review 353 (with F J Thorp). [1994] NZ Recent Law Review 1 (with F J Thorp). [1995] NZ L Rev 1 (with F J Thorp). [1996] NZ L Rev 96 (with F J Thorp). [1998] NZ L Rev 96 (with F J Thorp). [2000] NZ L Rev 61. [2002] NZ L Rev 49. [2004] NZ L Rev 87. [2005] NZ L Rev 119. [2006] NZ L Rev 303.
```

A full list of published articles and papers is available on request.

Seminar Materials:

[2009] NZ L Rev 99.

Arbitration Act - New Zealand Law Society Seminar 1997 (with F J Thorp).

Arbitration for the 21st Century - A Practical Guide - New Zealand Law Society Seminar 2001 (with F J Thorp).

Arbitration – Contemporary Issues and Techniques 2011 (with Daniel Kalderimis)

David A R Williams QC - Law Directory Comments

Who's Who Legal 2005 (Commercial Arbitration) "...one of the world's outstanding commercial arbitrators."

Global Arbitration Review 2007 (International Commercial Arbitration) "...one of London's super arbitrators".

Who's Who Legal 2008 (International Commercial Arbitration – English section) "David Williams QC of Essex Court Chambers is many people's Chairman of choice at the moment ... He is well organised and very bright".

<u>Chambers UK 2008</u> (International Commercial Arbitration) "...interviewees conveyed admiration at David Williams QC's judgment, handling of parties and ability to keep arbitral proceedings moving."

Who's Who Legal 2009 (Commercial Arbitration) "The New Zealand (arbitration) section features the famous trio from Bankside Chambers. David Williams QC is number one in the country."

Who's Who Legal 2010 (Commercial Arbitration) "David Williams QC is in a league of his own in New Zealand."

<u>Chambers Asia 2010</u> (International Arbitration) "David Williams QC of Essex Court Chambers is 'right up there as an arbitrator', report interviewees. He is from New Zealand ... and his Asian practice centres on Singapore, where he handles a range of disputes including investment, construction, infrastructure, and oil and gas."

Who's Who Legal 2011 (Commercial Arbitration) "David Williams at Bankside Chambers is rated as the country's leading practitioner."

<u>Chambers UK 2011</u> (Commercial Arbitration) "David Williams QC is another of Essex Court Chambers star arbitrators. Counsel praise his outstanding knowledge of infrastructure, energy, and construction matters."

<u>Chambers UK 2012</u> "...has been in high demand of late. A 'charming and decisive chairman', he inspires confidence in clients and counsel alike by firmly guiding proceedings forward whilst also retaining a relaxed atmosphere."

<u>Chambers Europe 2012</u> "David Williams QC is characterised as 'sensible, pragmatic and excellent in the technical aspects of arbitration'."

<u>Chambers Asia 2012</u> "David Williams QC of Essex Court Chambers is recommended as 'an excellent arbitrator who is a big name internationally'."

<u>Chambers UK 2013</u> "David Williams QC of Essex Court Chambers but based in New Zealand, offers significant expertise in investment treaty and commercial arbitration. Market commentators are quick to praise his capabilities as arbitrator, with one source commenting that 'he controls proceedings brilliantly as chairman'."

Who's Who Legal 2014 "The very intelligent David Williams QC ... has been involved in over 120 international arbitrations and currently serves as a member of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration".

Global Arbitration Review 2014 "Nominee for best prepared/most responsive arbitrator 2013 and 2014."

<u>Chambers Global 2014</u> (public international law) "...one of the top international arbitrators in the world."

<u>Chambers Asia 2014</u> "outstanding ... internationally renowned arbitrator who acts on many of the top-end international disputes in Asia. Currently New Zealand-based, he brings vast global arbitration experience to act for high-profile clients across the region, in the commercial and investment spheres."

<u>Chambers Global 2014</u> (international arbitration) "...has a solid reputation built over many years of acting on the largest cases in the field. He now primarily acts as an arbitrator, focusing largely on the Asia-Pacific region. Sources say: 'He is absolutely in the top band on a worldwide level'."